

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/751,747	12/29/2000	Lawrence Henry Hudepohl	MIPS:0105.00US	7128	
23669	7590 11/16/	06	EXAMINER		
HUFFMAN LAW GROUP, P.C.			TREAT, W	TREAT, WILLIAM M	
1900 MESA AVE. COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80906			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
COLOIGID	<i>5</i> 57 1d. (55, 55 5		2181	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/751,747	HUDEPOHL ET AL.		
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	William M. Treat	2181		
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:		
(1) William M. Treat.	(3)	·		
(2) <u>Richard K. Huffman</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 6 November 2006	Time:			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed:  1449 submitted on 2/22/2005.		•		
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
•				
WILLIAM M. PRIMARY EXA	TREAT MINER			
W- I				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative had earlier phoned and left a message saying the examiner had, apparently, inadvertently omitted the initialed copy of the 1449 when sending his Notice of Allowance. The examiner called applicants' representative to say that he had, indeed, inadvertently omitted it when mailing the Notice of Allowance but the references did not alter his decision to allow the application, and he was sending an initialed copy with this examiner's interview summary.